Effect of Amiloride on Catecholamine-Induced Changes in Ion Transport in Short-Circuited Frog Skin

R.W.S. Tomlinson and A.W. Wood*

Department of Medicine, King's College Hospital Medical School, London, SE5 8RX, England

Received 30 November 1977

Summary. The effect of amiloride $(10^{-6}$ M), added either before or after the catecholamine, on the adrenaline- or isoprenaline-induced changes in short-circuit current and Na and C1 fluxes of isolated skin of *Rana temporaria* was investigated. At the catecholamine concentration used the increment in short-circuit current was the same in the absence or presence of amiloride (ca. 7.1 neq \cdot cm⁻² \cdot min⁻¹) and the amiloride inhibition was the same in the absence or presence of catecholamine (ca. 9.4 neq \cdot cm⁻² \cdot min⁻¹). Amiloride inhibited the Na and C1 influxes of the control period (by -8.63 ± 1.28 and $-2.08 + 0.75$) neq \cdot cm⁻² \cdot min⁻¹, respectively) but did not prevent the increase of these fluxes on the addition of adrenaline. There was no evidence of amiloride inhibition of the Na and C1 effiuxes. There was an association between the increase of Na efflux and *net* C1 effiux following adrenaline, which if secreted together by a neutral NaC1 pump would not contribute to the increased short-circuit current. The increased short-circuit current was correlated with the increased Na influx throughout the experiment if allowance is made for the periods where there is a lag between the current and isotopic measurement (i.e., the period immediately after the addition of a drug). It is tentatively suggested that the catecholamineinduced increase in Na influx is not altered by the amiloride concentration used in this study. In addition the magnitude of the changes induced by catecholamine in the influx and efflux of both Na and C1 seem to be unaffected.

In a previous paper (Tomlinson & Wood, 1976) it was shown that the changes in Na influx were strongly associated with the changes in $I_{\rm sc}$ following catecholamine addition. Similarly the changes in Na efflux and C1 efflux were correlated, suggesting the Na fluxes to be dissociated, influx and efflux changes perhaps taking place at different loci. In addition there was evidence for a small and variable increase of shunt permeability, reflected in changes in Cl influx and perhaps accounting in a minor part for changes in Na efflux. All these changes were brought about by β -adrenergic stimulation.

^{} Present address:* Department of Physiology and Educational Computing Section, Chelsea College, Manresa Road., London, SW3 6LX.

In this paper we present preliminary results of the effect of amiloride on the changes in Na and C1 fluxes induced by adrenaline and isoprenaline.

Materials and Methods

Samples of skin from *Rana temporaria* were mounted between glass chambers (area 6.7 cm²), and the short-circuit current (I_{∞}) was monitored automatically. The membrane conductance (G_m) was measured as the fall in I_{sc} due to a 10 mV depolarization.

Unidirectional Na fluxes were measured using the radionuclide 22 NaCl and Cl fluxes with Na³⁶Cl and Na⁸²Br, correcting the ⁸²Br fluxes with the appropriate relative rate factor (f). The values of f for untreated and catecholamine treated frog skin have been published previously (Tomlinson & Wood, 1972).

The activity of γ -emissions from ⁸²Br were measured with a Nuclear Enterprises automatic gamma spectrometer and that of β -emissions from ³⁶Cl and ²²Na with a Packard automatic liquid scintillation system, the volume and composition of all samples being uniform. Samples in which 22 Na and 36 Cl were to be measured were placed also in a coincidence detecting arrangement in which the positron annihilation γ -rays from the ²²Na were counted.

The composition of the Ringer's solution was as follows (in mm): NaCl, 111.2; KCl, 1.9; NaHCO₃, 2.4; CaCl₂, 1.0. The solutions within the chambers were mixed and oxygenated by a stream of air saturated with water.

Catecholamines used were: adrenaline (1:1000 British Pharmacopoeia, Antigen, Ltd.) and isoprenaline (as sulphate; Wellcome). The amiloride was obtained from Merck, Sharp and Dohme, Ltd. The final concentrations used in these experiments were: adrenaline 1.5×10^{-5} M, isoprenaline 9.6×10^{-7} M and amiloride 0.92×10^{-6} M.

These concentrations were chosen to give maximum response (Fassina, Carpenedo & Fiandini, 1968; Ambalavanar, Foster & Schnieden, 1973) and sufficiently high to cause a net Cl efflux (Bastide & Jard, 1968) in the case of the cate cholamines and to produce a marked inhibition of Na influx in the case of amiloride.

Two experimental protocols were used; the first 4-20 min periods acted as a control period and this was followed by either (i) two 20-min periods of amiloride followed by three 20-min periods of catecholamine or (ii) two 20-min periods of catecholamine followed by three 20-min periods of amiloride. The catecholamines were added to the inside and the amitoride to the outside bathing solutions. Once added the drugs were present for the duration of the experiment.

For the histological examination of the skin mucous glands, six paired skins were mounted, one of the pair acting as a control while to the other isoprenaline was added. After approximately 75 min the skins were removed, fixed, paraffin sections cut, and the sections stained with haematoxylin and eosin. Microphotographs were taken of each complete transverse section and two diameters of each mucous gland measured. From these diameters the surface area of each gland was calculated.

Results

Short-Circuit Current Response

The typical patterns of $I_{\rm sc}$ response in the two experimental protocols are depicted in Fig. 1 for adrenaline and Figs. 6 and 8 for isoprenaline.

Fig. 1. Typical responses of the short-circuit current $(I_{\rm sc})$ to (a) amiloride then adrenaline (protocol 1) and (b) adrenaline then amiloride (protocol 2). $\Delta I_{\rm sc}$ is the change in $I_{\rm sc}$ from its value just before the addition of the drug and the drug's maximum effect

Comparison of the catecholamine response between the two protocols showed that the addition of amiloride before the catecholamine tended to retard the achievement of the maximum I_{sc} response. This was more noticeable in the case of isoprenaline where the sharp rise in $I_{\rm sc}$ to

Protocol		1 (amiloride then catechol.)	2 (catechol. then amiloride)
Amiloride inhibition	$\varDelta I_{\rm sc}$	$8.51 + 0.62(22)$	10.21 ± 0.76 (9)
	$\frac{0}{2}$	$81 + 2$ (22)	$78 + 4$ (9)
Adrenaline increase	$\varDelta I_{\rm sc}$	$6.73 + 0.76(22)$	7.72 ± 1.01 (9)
	$\frac{0}{0}$	$349 + 50$ (22)	83 $+6$ (17)
Amiloride inhibition	$\varDelta I_{\rm sc}$	$11.15 + 1.05$ (6)	11.6, 10.0 (2)
	$\frac{0}{0}$	$83 + 4$ (6)	83, 83 (2)
Isoprenaline increase	$\varDelta I_{\rm sc}$	$6.94 + 0.71$ (6)	9.7, 7.4 (2)
	$\frac{0}{0}$	$+55$ 285 (6)	145, 110 (2)

Table 1. The mean $(\pm s)$ change in short-circuit current caused by amiloride, adrenaline, or isoprenaline in the two experimental protocols^a

^a Values given as % change and $\Delta I_{\rm sc}$ in neq· cm⁻²·min⁻¹. Figure in parentheses is number of observations.

a maximum and the beginning of the decline which occurred within 20 min in protocol 2 (Fig. 8) was replaced by a more gradual rise which reached a maximum between 30–60 min when using protocol 1 (Fig. 6).

The degree of inhibition of $I_{\rm sc}$ by amiloride and increase of $I_{\rm sc}$ by the catecholamines are shown in Table 1.

There was no significant difference between the $\Delta I_{\rm sc}$ (amiloride) obtained from the two protocols nor the $\Delta I_{\rm sc}$ (adrenaline).

There was a highly significant correlation between $\Delta I_{\rm sc}$ (amiloride) and the $I_{\rm sc}$ immediately before the addition of amiloride ($r=0.91$, $P < 0.001$ for protocol 1, and $r=0.90$, $P < 0.001$ for protocol 2 using adrenaline).

Following the first protocol, there was no significant correlation between $\Delta I_{\rm sc}$ (amiloride) and $\Delta I_{\rm sc}$ (adrenaline) (r = -0.20, P > 0.1), between $\Delta I_{\rm sc}$ (amiloride) and $\Delta I_{\rm sc}$ (isoprenaline (r=0.45, P>0.1), nor between the amiloride $I_{\rm sc}$ immediately before the addition of catecholamine and the $\Delta I_{\rm sc}$ (adrenaline) or the $\Delta I_{\rm sc}$ (isoprenaline) (r=0.08, P > 0.1; r=0.55, $P > 0.1$, respectively).

With the second protocol, there was a probably significant correlation between $\Delta I_{\rm sc}$ (amiloride) and $\Delta I_{\rm sc}$ (adrenaline) ($r=0.71, P=0.03$) and between $\Delta I_{\rm sc}$ (adrenaline) and the control $I_{\rm sc}$ immediately before the addition of adrenaline $(r=0.70, P=0.04)$.

Sodium Fluxes

The results of the measurement of Na influx and efflux separately on different skins for nine 20-min periods are shown in Fig. 2. The

Fig. 2. Mean (\pm sE) sodium influx (Na_i) and efflux (Na_i) for each period of 20 min before and after the addition of amiloride *(Am.)* and adrenaline *(Ad.).* Two separate groups of skins were used for the influx and efflux measurements

Table 2. Mean (\pm sE) Na and Cl fluxes for the control, amiloride, and adrenaline periods^a

	Control	Amiloride	Adrenaline		
Na influx (8) Na efflux (6)	14.47 ± 0.79 $1.42 + 0.34$	$5.84 + 0.88$ 1.39 ± 0.46	$8.91 + 0.77$ $6.59 + 0.68$		
ANa	13.05 ^c	4.45°	2.32 ^b		
Cl influx (9) Cl efflux (6)	5.15 ± 0.49 2.57 ± 0.35	$3.07 + 0.41$ 1.93 ± 0.38	$4.40 + 0.33$ $9.44 + 0.66$		
⊿Cl	2.58 ^b	1.14	-5.04°		

^a Values expressed as neq \cdot cm⁻² \cdot min⁻¹. The number in parentheses represents the number of experiments. In each experiment there were four 20-min periods for the control, two 20-min periods for amiloride and three 20-min periods for adrenaline.

 $b \cdot 0.01 < P < 0.05$.

 $P < 0.001$.

mean results for the control, amiloride and adrenaline periods are set out in Table 2. Following the addition of amiloride there was a marked decrease of Na influx with no change in the Na efflux. The addition of adrenaline resulted in an increase of both Na influx and Na efflux; the increase in influx being maintained over the 1-hr period and the

Fig. 3. Effect of adrenaline *(Ad.)* and amiloride *(Am.)* on the bidirectional fluxes of Na measured separately in a paired skin sample. The short-circuit current $(I_{\rm sc})$ for the skin used for the Na influx (Na_i) measurement is also shown

efflux reaching a plateau during the first 20-min period after the addition of the adrenaline.

Consideration of each of the nine 20-min periods showed that there was a significant net Na influx in the first five only (i.e., the four control periods and the first amiloride period). The correlation between $I_{\rm sc}$ and Na influx was highly significant $(P<0.001)$ for the four control periods $(r=0.87, 0.90, 0.94,$ and 0.93), not significant $(P>0.05)$ for the two amiloride and first adrenaline period $(r=0.54, 0.24$ and 0.15, respectively), and significant ($P < 0.01$) for the last two adrenaline periods ($r =$ 0.81 and 0.86).

Isoprenaline (4 experiments) produced similar changes in Na influx and $I_{\rm sc}$ as adrenaline.

A typical result of using the second protocol of adrenaline first and then amiloride on sodium fluxes is shown in Fig. 3. Adrenaline increased both Na influx and efflux the influx being decreased by the addition of amiloride whereas the efflux was virtually unchanged.

Fig. 4. Mean $(+se)$ chloride influx (Cl_i) and efflux (Cl_i) for each period of 20 min before and after the addition of amiloride *(Am.)* and adrenaline *(Ad.).* Two separate groups of skins were used for the influx and effiux measurements

Chloride Fluxes

The results of the measurement of Cl influx and efflux separately on different skins and in a few cases simultaneously on the same skin are shown in Fig. 4. The mean results for the control, amiloride and adrenaline periods are set out in Table 2. It will be seen that the skins on which the influx measurements were made were more permeable to chloride (range 1.21–10.46 neq·cm⁻² min⁻¹) than those used for efflux measurements (range 1.18–6.45 neq \cdot cm⁻² min⁻¹). Comparison of the two groups of results thus shows an apparent probably significant net C1 influx for the control periods. However, experiments in which the bidirectional fluxes were measured on the same preparation showed no net C1 influx (Fig. 5).

Consideration of each of the nine 20-min periods showed a probably significant net C1 influx for periods 2, 3 and 4 (control) and a significant net C1 efflux for periods 7, 8 and 9 (adrenaline).

Amiloride produced a significant decrease in Cl influx, whereas adrenaline produced a probably significant increase in C1 influx and a highly significant increase in C1 efflux (Table 3).

Fig. 5. Effect of amiloride *(Am.)* and adrenaline *(Ad.)* on the bidirectional fluxes of C1 measured simultaneously in short-circuited skin. Cl_i is ⁸²Br-traced C1 influx; Cl_e is ³⁶Cltraced C1 efflux

Table 3. Mean differences Δ ($+$ SEM) between the control-amiloride and amiloride-adrenaline periods for Na and Cl fluxes^a

	Control- amiloride	Amiloride- adrenaline		
Δ Na influx	$-8.63 + 1.28$ ^d	$+3.07 + 1.18$ ^c		
Δ Na efflux	$-0.03 + 0.59$	$+5.20 \pm 0.89$ ^d		
$AC1$ influx	-2.08 ± 0.75 ^c	$+1.33 + 0.52^{\mathrm{b}}$		
$AC1$ efflux	-0.64 ± 0.56	$+7.51 \pm 0.87$ ^d		

^a Values in neq. cm^{-2} min^{-1}. This datum is derived from the results presented in Table 2. b 0.01 < P < 0.05.

 $^{\circ}$ 0.001 < P < 0.01.

 $P < 0.001$.

Isoprenaline (2 bidirectional measurements) produced similar changes (Fig. 6).

A typical result of using the second protocol of adrenaline or isoprenaline first and then amiloride on C1 bidirectional fluxes arc shown in Figs. 7 and 8. In four such experiments the catecholamine produced a marked increase in C1 effiux and a variable response in C1 influx (either no change or an increase). In all four experiments the C1 effiux remained elevated over the control periods for the remainder of the experiment and did not appear to be affected by the addition of amiloride. Similarly the C1 influx when it was increased (Fig. 7) remained elevated

Fig. 6. Effect of amiloride *(Am.)* and isoprenaline *(Isopr.)* on bidirectional fluxes of Ct measured simultaneously in short-circuited skin. Cl_i is ³⁶Cl-traced CI influx; Cl_e is ⁸²Brtraced efflux

Fig. 7. Effect of adrenaline *(Ad.)* and amiloride *(Am.)* on the bidirectional fluxes of C1 measured simultaneously in short-circuited skin. Cl_i is ³⁶Cl-traced Cl influx; Cl_e is ⁸²Brtraced efflux

Fig. 8. Effect of isoprenaline *(Isopr.)* and amiloride *(Am.)* on the bidirectional fluxes of C1 measured simultaneously in short-circuited skin. Cl_i is ⁸²Br-traced C1 influx; Cl_e is ³⁶Cl-traced efflux

over the control periods for the remainder of the experiment. Whether the C1 influx was increased or not, there was no indication of it being inhibited by amiloride.

Comparison of Iso with Na Influx

As mentioned in the section on sodium fluxes, a good correlation was obtained between the average short-circuit current and the Na influx for the four control periods and the last two adrenaline periods only. To estimate the extent to which Na influx mirrors changes in short-circuit current, the mean value of $I_{\rm sc}$ for each 20-min period was subtracted from the value of Na influx for that period and the value of this quantity (i) compared for the control, amiloride, and catecholamine periods (Table 4).

Addition of amiloride produced a probably significant increase in the value of j_s averaged over the control and amiloride periods ($P=0.02$).

		Control				Amiloride		Catecholamine		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
Adrenaline (8)			$1.29b$ 2.51 2.28	2.64		$5.50b$ 1.75 ^b	0.64	1.24	2.57	
Group mean $+SE$		$2.18 + 0.43$			$3.62 + 0.82$		$1.48 + 0.71$			
Isoprenaline (4)	1.87	2.81	3.06	2.45	5.87	2.66	$-0.52 - 0.78$		2.54 ^b	
Group mean $+SE$		$2.55 + 0.37$				$4.26 + 0.86$ $0.42 + 0.84$				
Combined (12)			1.48^{b} 2.61 2.54 2.58		5.62^{b} 2.05					
Group mean \pm SE	$2.30 + 0.31$				$3.84 + 0.61$					

Table 4. Comparison of the difference between Na influx and average short-circuit current $(i_s=Na_i-\bar{I}_{\rm sc})$ in the nine 20-min periods (4 control, 2 amiloride, and 3 catecholamine)^a

^a Values in neq \cdot cm⁻² \cdot min⁻¹. Number of experiments represented shown in parentheses. b These values more than 2 se from the group mean.</sup>

The first amiloride period was more than 2 SE away from the group mean for the combined experiments, indicating perhaps a lag of Na influx measurement behind current measurement.

Addition of adrenaline did not produce any significant change in *Js* compared with the value for either the control or amiloride periods $(P=0.4$ and 0.06, respectively). The value of j_s for isopremaline decreased probably significantly from the control value $(P=0.02)$ and decreased significantly from the amiloride value ($P=0.006$).

In an analysis of variance the presence of amiloride and catecholamine was found not to be a significant source of variance in the case of adrenaline treated skins and only probably significant $(P=0.02)$ in the case of isoprenaline. The major source of variance was that between experiments. Inspection of Table 4 further shows that the range of j_s is rather small (1.24-3.06) when the first amiloride, first adrenaline, and first and second isoprenaline periods are omitted. As mentioned previously this may be justified because of the lag of Na influx measurement behind I_{sc} when the I_{sc} changes suddenly upon the addition of a drug. In the case of isoprenaline the retarded response after amiloride may produce a lag effect into the second isoprenaline period. Any lag effect in Na influx measurement behind $I_{\rm sc}$ will result in poorer precision of measurement of j_s for that period.

Comparison of Na and C1 Efflux

Inspection of the data presented in Table 3 shows that adrenaline produced a mean (\pm SEM) increase in Na efflux of 5.20 \pm 0.89 neq cm^{-2} min⁻¹ over the amiloride value, which was of the same order of magnitude as the mean $(\pm s)$ of the difference) *net* Cl efflux of $6.18 + 0.95$ neq \cdot cm⁻² min⁻¹.

In two experiments in which bidirectional C1 fluxes and Na efflux were measured simultaneously, the mean changes in Na efflux for the adrenaline periods over the amiloride period were $4.41, 6.57$ neq- cm^{-2} min⁻¹ and the mean *net* C1 efflux 6.06, 5.95 neq \cdot cm⁻² min⁻¹. respectively.

Conductance Changes

The skin conductance was measured during each 20-min period of both experimental protocols and the change (ΔG_m) in the mean value for the control, amiloride, and adrenaline periods compared. The values are expressed in $k\Omega^{-1}$ ·cm⁻². The mean (\pm SE) ΔG_m was -0.19 ± 0.03 $(n=17)$ from the control to amiloride period and $+0.38+0.05$ $(n=17)$ from amiloride to adrenaline period (first experimental protocol). For the second experimental protocol the ΔG_m was $+0.32 \pm 0.06$ (n = 7) from the control to adrenaline period and -0.20 ± 0.04 ($n = 7$) from the adrenaline to amiloride period. There is no significant difference in the AG_m produced by amiloride added after the control period or after the adrenaline period. Similarly there is no significant difference in AG_m produced by adrenaline added after the control period or after the amiloride period.

In both experimental protocols amiloride significantly decreased and adrenaline significantly elevated the skin conductance.

Histological Changes in Mucous Glands

Six paired skin samples (one of each pair acting as a control, the other exposed to isoprenaline for approximately 75 min) were prepared for light microscopy examination. The number of mucous glands in a transverse section of the skin was counted and their diameters measured. From the measured diameters the area of each gland was calculated. It was calculated that 44% of the glands would be within 10% of their maximum diameter in any particular transverse section. The results are

Table 5. The mean $(\pm s)$ area of the mucous glands seen in transverse sections of control and isoprenaline treated skins^a

 $^{\circ}$ The significance (P) was computed after a square root transformation of the areas to normalize the distribution of the areas.

Fig. 9. Microphotographs of transverse sections of isoprenaline treated *(upper)* and control *(lower)* samples of same skin. The isoprenaline treated mucous glands are much enlarged and their contents discharged. Magnification $(180 \times)$

presented in Table 5 and representative portions of the section shown in Fig. 9.

In the skin samples exposed to isoprenaline the mucous glands were significantly larger with some diminution of the cellular thickness of the gland lining (Table 5). Many of isoprenaline treated glands appeared to have discharged their contents.

Discussion

In this study it has been shown that the catecholamines adrenaline and isoprenaline produce the same magnitude of change in short-circuit current *(AIsc)* whether or not amiloride is present. Similarly, amiloride produced the same magnitude of $\Delta I_{\rm sc}$ whether added before or during the catecholamine response (Table 1). The $\Delta I_{\rm sc}$ (amiloride) was significantly correlated with the $I_{\rm sc}$ just before the amiloride was added, i.e., with the control $I_{\rm sc}$ in protocol 1 and the catecholamine stimulated $I_{\rm sc}$ in protocol 2. In contrast the $\Delta I_{\rm sc}$ (catecholamine) was not significantly correlated with the amiloride-produced $I_{\rm sc}$ (protocol 1) and only probably so with the control $I_{\rm sc}$ (protocol 2). In a previous paper (Tomlinson & Wood, 1976) we found no significant correlation between the magnitude of the initial rise and the value of either the pre-adrenaline or pre-isoprenaline $I_{\rm sc}$.

An implication of the above observations is that adrenaline and isoprenaline when added induce an increment of approximately 7 neq. $\text{cm}^{-2} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ to the I_{sc} , whether amiloride is present or not. However, when amiloride was not present and is subsequently added it still inhibits the $I_{\rm sc}$ (i.e., catecholamine increment plus basal $I_{\rm sc}$) by 83%.

The addition of amiloride produced the expected marked inhibition of Na influx but had no effect on the basal Na efflux (Table 3) and no obvious effect on the elevated Na efflux produced by catecholamines (Fig. 3). This lack of inhibition of Na efflux by amiloride was found previously (Nielsen & Tomlinson, 1970) but is in contrast to that reported by some other workers (e.g., Salako & Smith, 1970). As mentioned previously the C1 unidirectional flux values given in Table 2 were obtained from two separate groups of skins, the higher C1 permeability of the skins used for the influx determinations probably being responsible for a fortuitous net C1 influx. The addition of amiloride to these skins resulted in a significant inhibition of C1 influx (Table 3) which resulted in no net C1 influx for the amiloride period. Schneider (1975) has reported a net C1 influx in isolated skin of *Rana esculenta* at the C1 concentration of a normal NaC1 Ringer's solution, which was inhibited to 50% by 10^{-4} M amiloride. Furthermore, the inhibition was more readily demonstrated in skins with initial large C1 influxes. In the present study and a previous one (Tomlinson & Wood, 1976) where C1 bidirectional fluxes were measured on the same skin sample, no net Cl influx was demonstrated. In some of the bidirectional C1 flux measurements performed for this paper, amiloride did appear to inhibit the Cl influx (e.g., Fig. 6); however, it did not appear to inhibit the elevated Cl influx induced by adrenaline (Fig. 7).

The addition of adrenaline (10^{-5} M) to short-circuited preparations of intact frog skin is known to produce a net efflux of C1, a variable change in net Na influx and an increase in skin conductance, reflected in increased Na and C1 unidirectional fluxes (Koefoed-Johnsen, Ussing & Zerahn, 1952). The actions of isoprenaline at 10^{-5} M are similar to those of adrenaline (McAfee, 1970). The net C1 efflux is produced by β -stimulation (Watlington, 1968), an observation confirmed by Tomlinson & Wood (1976) who also found a strong association between Na efflux increase and the net C1 efflux. This association was also found in the experiments with amiloride reported in this paper. Such an electroneutral NaC1 pump would not, of course, contribute to the increased I_{sc} nor would it be inhibited by amiloride. This would mean that the increased $I_{\rm sc}$ produced by the catecholamines was due to an increased Na influx.

Since the $\Delta I_{\rm sc}$ increment produced by the catecholamines was the same in the absence or presence of amiloride, this would mean that they open up new Na channels not blocked by the amiloride present (experimental protocol 1). However, the $\Delta I_{\rm sc}$ inhibition produced by amiloride is the same whether or not catecholamine is present, so that amiloride is only blocking the Na channels carrying the basal Na influx.

Several other workers (including Koefoed-Johnson *et al.,* 1952; Watlington, 1968; Lindley, 1969) favor an electrogenic C1 pump mechanism. If the increased I_{sc} is due to the production of a net Cl efflux, then the $\Delta I_{\rm sc}$ increment caused by catecholamine would be unaffected by amiloride, the inhibition of I_{sc} being due to the inhibition of the basal Na influx.

The data in this paper would support either mechanism. However, in a previous paper (Tomlinson & Wood, 1976) the increase in I_{sc} produced by isoprenaline was almost twice the net C1 efflux. In addition, the findings of McAfee (1970) and Lang, Sjöberg & Skoglund (1975) that the glandular secretions of *Ranidae* contain equal amounts of Na and C1 favors a neutral NaC1 extrusion from the glands. Such an extrusion would seem to occur without contractions of the smooth muscle surrounding the gland since Lindley (1969) found no change in the shape of the gland after catecholamine treatment, and we found that approximately 75 min after the addition of isoprenaline when the $I_{\rm sc}$ was still markedly elevated the mucous glands were much larger than those in the control sample of skin (Fig. 9).

One of the problems of interpretation of the data is the lag of the isotopic measurement behind the I_{sc} . If this is taken into account in considering the values of j_s (Table 4) and in the correlations found between Na influx and I_{sc} measurements, then it would seem that the increase of $I_{\rm sc}$ is a reflection of increased Na influx, which can still occur in the presence of amiloride at the concentration used in this study. The β -adrenergic receptor responsible for the stimulation of Na influx across the skin epithelial layer and the outward secretion of NaC1 from the mucous glands would have to be insensitive to other hormones which alter I_{sc} without stimulation of a net Cl movement (ADH and aldosterone) and insensitive to the presence of amiloride.

Financial support from the Wellcome Trust is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- Ambalavanar, S., Foster, R.W., Schnieden, H. 1973. The adrenoceptors mediating catecholamine effects in frog isolated skin. *J. Pharm. Pharmacol.* 25:55
- Bastide, F., Jard, S. 1968. Actions de la noradrénaline et de l'ocytocine sur le transport actif de sodium et la permeabilité a l'eau de la peau grenouille. Role du 3', 5'-AMP cyclique. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 150:113
- Fassina, G., Carpenedo, F., Fiandini, G. 1968. Effect of catecholamines and β -anti-adrenergic drugs on isolated frog skin. *J. Pharm. Pharmacol.* 20:240
- Koefoed-Johnsen, V., Ussing, H.H., Zerahn, K. 1952. The origin of the short-circuit current in the adrenaline-stimulated frog skin. *Acta Physiol. Scand.* 27:38
- Lang, L., Sj6berg, E., Skoglund, C.R. 1975. Conductance recording of ionic outflow from frog skin glands during nerve stimulation. *Acta Physiol. Scand.* 93:67
- Lindley, B.D. 1969. Nerve stimulation and electrical properties of frog skin. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 53: 427
- McAfee, R.D. 1970. The action of beta adrenergic site stimulating catecholamines on isolated frog skin. *Bioehim. Biophys. Acta* 203:104
- Nielsen, R., Tomlinson, R.W.S. 1970. The effect of amiloride on sodium transport in the normal and moulting frog skin. *Acta Physiol. Scand.* 79:238
- Salako, L.A., Smith, A.J. 1970. Effects of amiloride on active sodium transport by the isolated frog skin: evidence concerning site of action. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 38:702
- Schneider, W. 1975. Chloride transport in isolated skin of *Rana esculenta. Pfluegers Arch.* 355:107
- Tomlinson, R.W.S., Wood, A.W. 1972. ${}^{82}Br$ and ${}^{36}Cl$ as tracers for measuring chloride transmembrane flux in untreated and catecholamine-treated frog skin. *J. Physiol. (London)* 222:172P
- Tomlinson, R.W.S., Wood, A.W. 1976. Catecholamine-induced changes in ion transport in short-circuited frog skin and the effect of β -blockade. *J. Physiol. (London)* **257:**515
- Watlington, C.O. 1968. Effect of catecholamines and adrenergic blockade on sodium transport in isolated frog skin. *Am. Y. Physiol.* 214:1001